

Ratings Report

Moody's downgrades Hartford, CT's GO to A2 from A1; outlook negative

Assigns A2 to \$82M GO Bonds; MIG 2 to \$50M GO BANs

Moody's Investors Service has downgraded to A2 from A1 the rating on the City of Hartford's (CT) outstanding general obligation bonds, affecting approximately \$352 million of outstanding debt. The outlook is negative. Concurrently, Moody's has assigned an A2 rating to the city's \$82 million of General Obligation Bonds, Series 2014 B. Moody's has also assigned a MIG 1 rating to \$50 million General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes (BANs), dated October 28, 2014 and due October 27, 2015.

The bonds are secured by the city's general obligation unlimited tax pledge. Proceeds from the bonds and notes will be used to finance various city and school capital projects.

SUMMARY RATINGS RATIONALE

The downgrade to A2 incorporates the weakened financial position, characterized by narrow reserve levels that are expected to decline further following a sizeable anticipated deficit in fiscal 2014. The downgrade also factors the expectation that the city will remain challenged to restore fiscal stability in the near term due to an ongoing reliance on one-time revenue sources for operations, limited revenue raising ability, and diminished flexibility for future expenditure reductions. The rating also incorporates the sizeable liabilities for pension, OPEB, and debt, as well as the city's standing as the state capital and an important regional economic center.

The negative outlook reflects the possibility for downward rating action should the city fail to stabilize and augment its slim reserve levels over the near term.

The MIG 2 rating is based on the city's long-term credit quality, as reflected in the rating and outlook. As per Moody's BAN methodology, note ratings are capped at MIG 2 for issuers rated A2 with a negative outlook and below.

STRENGTHS

-- State capital and regional employment center

CHALLENGES

-- Low wealth and high unemployment

-- Stagnant property values

Ratings Report

- Narrow reserve levels, with anticipated operating deficit in fiscal 2014
- Continuing revenue weakness and increasing expenditure demands, including pension contributions

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

NARROW FINANCIAL POSITION EXPECTED TO WEAKEN FURTHER

Following four consecutive years of surplus operations from fiscal 2010 through 2013, the city is anticipating a sizeable operating deficit in fiscal 2014. The deficit is expected to decrease General Fund balance as a percent of budget to the lowest point since fiscal 2009. The city's ability to restore structural balance and increase reserve levels over the near term will be a critical rating factor in future reviews. Any further decline in reserves will likely result in downward rating action.

The adopted fiscal 2013 budget was a \$7 million reduction (1.3%) from the prior year, and was balanced with a 2.5 mill property tax increase, and the elimination of 14 full-time equivalent positions and 5 vacant positions. The city did not appropriate any reserves during the fiscal 2013 budget formulation. The city restructured a portion of its outstanding debt during the fiscal year, which provided \$5.9 million in cash flow savings. Inclusive of this one-time revenue source, the city finished with balanced operations. At year end, total General Fund balance increased to \$30.4 million, or 5.4% of revenues. Unassigned fund balance grew to \$27.5 million, or 4.7% of revenues, representing the city's strongest reserve position since 2008. At this level, reserves remain below the city's goal to maintain Unassigned General Fund balance at a minimum of 7% of revenues.

The fiscal 2014 budget was a \$1.5 million reduction (0.3%) from the prior year, and was balanced with an \$8.3 million draw on fund balance, and no increase to the tax rate. The budget included a \$13 million contribution to the retirement system from unidentified revenue sources, which never fully materialized. At year end, the city is expecting a total reduction of fund balance of approximately \$13.8 million, which includes the budgeted \$8.3 million. This would lower total General fund balance to \$16.5 million, or 3.1% of revenues which is the lowest level since 2009.

The fiscal 2015 adopted budget includes a 2.5% increase in spending and was balanced without the use of fund balance or any increase to the tax rate. The budget does include \$13.7 million in non-recurring revenue related to the sale of city owned property. In addition, the city elected to extend its pension funding schedule by 10 years in order to create near term budgetary relief, which Moody's believes is indicative of significant fiscal strain. Additional expenditure savings were achieved through the reduction of 52 positions, which equates to roughly 3.5% of the total workforce. We believe that the use of non-recurring revenues is an unsustainable budgetary practice and could lead to credit weakening in the future.

Ratings Report

EMPLOYMENT BASE ANCHORED BY STATE GOVERNMENT; UNEMPLOYMENT REMAINS HIGH & WEALTH LEVELS LOW

The tax base remains strained by declining or stagnant property values, low wealth levels and a very high level of unemployment, which stood at 13.3% as of July 2014, compared to state and national levels of 6.8% and 6.5% respectively. While the city benefits from the stabilizing presence of a large government workforce, new job and grand list growth is expected to remain slow over the medium term.

The city's most recent October 1, 2011 (effective fiscal 2013) revaluation resulted in a 9.7% reduction in the city's grand list, with the largest value declines occurring in the city's commercial sector. The tax base remains concentrated, with the top ten taxpayers representing a sizable 20.8% of total value, consisting mainly of insurers and office buildings. The insurance industry remains an important part of the local economy including three of the top ten taxpayers and three of the top five employers. These include The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (Baa3 positive), Aetna Inc. (Baa2 stable) and The Travelers Companies, Inc. (A2 stable). The economy also benefits from its role as a regional health care center, with several hospitals located in the city.

Hartford has struggled in recent years with elevated office vacancy rates in the city. During the fourth quarter of 2013, vacancy rates in the central business district stood at 20% which although high, is a significant improvement from 26.6% last year. Wealth indices remain very low as reflected in the city's 2012 median family income, which is just 40% and 59% of the state and nation, respectively. In addition, the city's \$52,256 full value per capita is just 59% of the national average, partially reflecting the large amount of tax exempt government property.

MANAGEABLE DEBT PROFILE

Hartford's net direct debt burden remains well above average at 8.1% of equalized net grand list (ENGL), skewed somewhat by the city's sizable tax-exempt sector. Debt service in fiscal 2013 represented a manageable 7.7% of General Fund expenditures and payout of existing principal is slow with 57% retired within 10 years. Looking ahead, the city anticipates issuing approximately \$50 million of bonds and notes annually, to support the 5-year capital improvement plan. The debt profile consists entirely of fixed rate borrowing and is not a party to any derivative agreements.

PENSION AND OPEB LIABILITIES REMAIN SIZEABLE

Hartford has a history of strong pension funding practices. Due largely to market losses, the funded ratio of the City Municipal Employee Retirement Fund (MERF) dropped as of July 2012 to a still strong 79% from 88% in 2010, and 96.6% in 2009. The city has consistently funded its full annual required contribution (ARC) and expects to continue that practice moving forward. The fiscal 2013 ARC for the MERF plan was \$34.3 million, or 6.1% of General Fund expenditures. Increasing contributions to the plan will likely be a source of financial stress for the city, although a recent extension of the amortization schedule will provide near term relief. The city's 2013 adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's

Ratings Report

methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is a sizeable \$1 billion, or a well above average 15.6% of ENGL, or 1.82 times General Fund revenues. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the city's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities.

The city has a large \$273 million other post-employment benefits liability (OPEB), with a \$10.9 million ARC which was funded at 58% in 2013. The plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. We do not anticipate the city will be able to make material progress in reducing the size of the unfunded OPEB liability over the medium term due to significant competing expenditure needs and ongoing revenue weaknesses.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Rebuilding of reserves to levels consistent with higher rating categories
- Established trend of structurally balanced operations
- Significant improvement in socioeconomic indices
- Sizeable tax base growth

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Continued reliance on one-time revenue sources
- Protracted structural budget imbalance
- Reduction of General Fund balance
- Deterioration of the city's tax base and demographic profile

KEY STATISTICS

2013 Equalized Valuation: \$6.5 billion

2013 Equalized Value Per Capita: \$52,256

Median Family Income as % of US Median: 52.1%

Fiscal 2013 Operating Fund balance (General Fund and School Fund) as a % of Revenues: 5.4%

5-Year Dollar Change in Operating Fund Balance as % of Revenues (2009-2013): 0.44%

Fiscal 2013 Operating Cash Balance (General Fund and School Fund) as % of Revenues: 12.8%

Ratings Report

5-Year Dollar Change in Operating Cash Balance as % of Revenues, adjusted (2009-2013): 5.22%

Institutional Framework: "Aa"

5-Year Average Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures (2009-2013): 1.00x

Net Direct Debt as % of Full Value: 5.55%

Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues: 0.64

3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL as % of Full Value: 18.07%

3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL / Operating Revenues: 2.1x

© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

Ratings Report

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

DRAFT - CONFIDENTIAL